



GOVERNMENT OF ODISHA
HOUSING & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
3RD FLOOR, KHARAVEL BHAWAN, BHUBANESWAR, PIN-751001

Memo No. 11322 /HUD., Date. 20.5.2025

Copy forwarded to the Vice-Chairman, Bhubaneswar Development Authority (BDA), Bhubaneswar /OSD (ODA Act), Bhubaneswar Development Authority (BDA), Bhubaneswar/ Planning Member, Bhubaneswar Development Authority (BDA)/ Commissioner, BMC, Bhubaneswar for information and necessary action.

Tcm 17.5.25

Memo No. 11323 /HUD., Date. 20.5.2025

Under Secretary to Govt.

Copy forwarded to Smt. Nirupama Jena, W/o-Khageswar Jena, At- Badagada, BRIT Colony, EB-201, Bhubaneswar, Dist-Khurda for information and necessary action.

Tcm 17.5.25

Under Secretary to Govt.

Memo No. 11324 /HUD., Date. 20.5.2025

Copy forwarded to the Team Lead, TASU, Housing & Urban Development Department with a request to take necessary steps in order to make the said notice available in the official website of this Department.

Tcm 17.5.25

Under Secretary to Govt.

BY SPEED POST/ COURT MATTER

**IN THE COURT OF SRI SOURINDRA KU. ROUTRAY, ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO
GOVERNMENT, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, ODISHA,
BHUBANESWAR.**

(Appellate Authority under O.D.A. Act, 1982)

Appeal No. 224 of 2006
(Arising out of UAP Case:-318/2006)
91(2) of the O.D.A. Act., 1982

Smt. Nirupama JenaAppellant

-vrs-

BDA, Bhubaneswar Respondent

Order

Date 04.05.2025

The case record is put up today.

Both the parties are present.

This is an appeal petition file u/s 91 (2) of the ODA Act, 1982 by Smt Nirupama Jena, Bdagada Brit Colony, EB-201, Badagada Housing Scheme, PS-Badagada, Bhubaneswar challenging the order dated 29.11.2006 passed in the UAP No. 318/2006.

Heard the Parties.

The allegation against the appellant is that, the appellant has undertaken unauthorised additional construction of ground floor RCC Roofed house in addition to the existing Scheme house undertaken beyond approved building plan by construction of boundary wall and extension of roof projection to the road land.

During course of hearing, after careful consideration of the submissions made by both the parties, this court observed the appellant has violated the norms as enshrined under the building plan issued in her favour and erected unauthorized structure beyond approved plan. Finding no merit in the appeal of the petitioner, this court upholds the orders of the lower court and directs BDA to act accordingly within the purview of law.

Accordingly, the appeal petition is disposed off.

Sd/-

Sri Sourindra Ku. Routray